

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

Local Rule 204 would involve the imposition of criminal contempt sanctions under *Cromer*. Given this, Defense Counsel Bolden will be afforded notice of the alleged violations, an opportunity to be heard, and the protections that the Constitution requires, before the Court imposes any criminal contempt sanctions. And so, it is further **ORDERED** that:

Defense Counsel Bolden shall **SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, **on or before January 31, 2023**, as to why the Court should not:

- (1) Impose criminal contempt sanctions;
- (2) Make a criminal referral to the United States Attorney's Office for this District pursuant to Fed. R. Crim P. 42; and/or
- (3) Revoke Defense Counsel Bolden's *pro hac vice* admission in *United States v. Marilyn J. Mosby*, 22-cr-0007.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Lydia Kay Griggsby
LYDIA KAY GRIGGSBY
United States District Judge